

ACN 103 367 684

#### ASX Code: RDM

Red Metal Limited is a minerals exploration company focused on the exploration, evaluation and development of Australian copper-gold and basemetal deposits.

#### **Issued Capital:**

245,591,743 Ordinary shares

10,975,000 Unlisted options

#### **Directors:**

Rob Rutherford Managing Director

Russell Barwick Chairman

Joshua Pitt Non-executive Director

RED METAL LIMITED Level 15 323 Castlereagh Street Sydney NSW 2000

Ph: +61 2 9281 1805

info@redmetal.com.au www.redmetal.com.au


# ASX ANNOUNCEMENT 13 JULY 2021

#### THREE WAYS PROJECT: DRILLING UPDATE

Proof of concept drill tests on three separate high conductivity targets have been completed on Three Ways. All three drill holes TWD2103, TWD2104 and TWD2105 intersected strong stratigraphic controlled iron sulphides within quartz-rich and some graphitic sedimentary sequences that explained the conductivity anomalies.

Although traces of structure controlled iron and copper sulphide minerals were observed in TWD2104 and TWD2105, no economically significant intervals of copper, nickel or lead-zinc mineralisation are visible in any of the holes. Cores in TWD2105 revealed extensive silica-biotite alteration the significance of which remains to be resolved. Detailed logging and sampling are underway. Assays for base metals, gold, cobalt and other trace elements are pending.

This three hole program was funded by OZ Minerals (ASX: OZL) under the terms of the Greenfields Discovery Alliance.



[Figure 1] Three Ways Project: Vertical gradient magnetic image showing moving loop ground electromagnetic lines, the 2020 drill holes TWD2001 and TWD2002 and the recently completed drill holes TWD2104, TWD2104 and TWD2105 (red).



[Figure 2] Northwest Queensland and Northern Territory: Major deposits and Red Metal tenement locations.

This announcement was authorised by the Board of Red Metal. For further information concerning Red Metal's operations and plans for the future please refer to the recently updated web site or contact Rob Rutherford, Managing Director at:

Phone +61 (0)2 9281-1805 www.redmetal.com.au

Reutherford

Rob Rutherford Managing Director

Russell Barwick Chairman

The information in this report that relates to Exploration Results is based on and fairly represents information and supporting documentation compiled by Mr Robert Rutherford, who is a member of the Australian Institute of Geoscientists (AIG). Mr Rutherford is the Managing Director of the Company. Mr Rutherford has sufficient experience which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity which he is undertaking to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the "Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves" (the JORC Code). Mr Rutherford consents to the inclusion in the report of the matters based on his information in the form and context in which it appears

## Table 1 – Three Ways Project: JORC 2012 sampling techniques and data

| Criteria   | JORC 2012 Explanation   | Commentary  |  |  |  |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| Sampling Techniques                                  | Nature and quality of sampling  | TWD2103, TWD2104 and TWD2105 are deep rotary/mud diamond<br>core holes designed to test the source of the regionally significant high<br>conductance magnetotelluric anomalies and ground electromagnetic<br>anomalies. TWD2103 comprises of rotary mud chips to 240 metres, HQ<br>diamond core to 422.6 metres and NQ2 diamond drill core to the end<br>of hole at 696 metre. TWD2104 comprises of rotary mud chips to 301<br>metres, HQ diamond core to 428.8 metres and NQ2 diamond drill core<br>to the end of hole at 878m. TWD2105 comprises of rotary mud chips<br>to 246 metres, HQ diamond core to 324.5 metres and NQ2 diamond<br>drill core to the end of hole at 715m. The method of drilling is<br>considered to be of an acceptable quality for evaluating the source of a<br>geophysical target and reporting of visual exploration results. |  |  |  |
|  | Include reference to measures taken to<br>ensure representativity samples and the<br>appropriate calibration of any<br>measurement tools or systems used.   | Sampling for geochemical analysis is in progress. Magnetic<br>susceptibility values were measured using a hand held KT9<br>susceptibility metre which utilises an air calibration to zero the<br>instrument prior to taking a measurement.  |  |  |  |
|  | Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report.  | Visual results were observed by an experienced senior geologist and checked by the Exploration Manager of Red Metal.  |  |  |  |
| Drilling Technique                                   | Drill type (eg core, reverse circulation,<br>open-hole hammer, rotary air blast,<br>auger, Bangka, sonic, etc.) and details<br>(e.g. core diameter, triple or standard<br>tube, depth of diamond tails, face-<br>sampling bit or other type, whether core<br>is oriented and if so, by what method,<br>etc.). | <ul> <li>to penetrate through the cover sequences to extract HQ and NQ2 diameter core samples in the basement.</li> <li>The core was oriented using Reflex Act3. The drill hole was surveyed using an Access Champ north seeking gyro.</li> </ul>   |  |  |  |
| Drill Sample Recovery                                | Method of recording and assessing core<br>and chip sample recoveries and results<br>assessed.   | The length of recovered core and the core rock quality are logged for each core run. Core recovery throughout the fresh basement rocks is very good (100%).   |  |  |  |
|  | Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.   | Diamond core is reconstructed into continuous runs on an angle iron<br>cradle and marked with orientation lines. Depths are checked against<br>depths marked on the core blocks and rod counts are routinely<br>performed by the drillers.  |  |  |  |
|  | Whether a relationship exists between<br>sample recovery and grade and whether<br>sample bias may have occurred due to<br>preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse<br>material.  | No bias expected as very good core recovery   |  |  |  |
| Logging  | Whether core and chip samples have<br>been geologically and geotechnically<br>logged to a level of detail to support<br>appropriate Mineral Resource estimation,<br>mining studies and metallurgical studies.<br>Whether logging is qualitative or<br>quantitative in nature.                                 | Quantitative geotechnical logging including RQD and core recovery are<br>measured for each core run.<br>Qualitative and quantitative codes and descriptions are used to record<br>geological data such as lithology, mineralisation and alteration prior to<br>sampling. Quantitative structural data is also measured prior to<br>sampling. Magnetic susceptibility is quantified for the total length of<br>the core with measurements taken every 0.5m and averaged over<br>every core run (3 to 6 metres)   |  |  |  |
|  | Core photography  | Core is photographed wet and dry.   |  |  |  |
|  | The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.   | TWD2103, TWD2104 and TWD2105 have been geologically summary<br>logged. Detailed logging is in progress. RDQ and magnetic<br>susceptibility has been measured for the total length of the core.  |  |  |  |
| Sub-sampling<br>techniques and<br>sample preparation | If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.   | No assay results reported   |  |  |  |

|   | For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.   |   |
|---|--|---|
|   | Quality control procedures adopted for all<br>sub-sampling stages to maximise<br>representativity of samples.  |   |
|   | Measures taken to ensure that the<br>sampling is representative of the in situ<br>material collected, including for instance<br>results for field duplicate/second-half<br>sampling.   |   |
|   | Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.  |   |
| Quality of assay data<br>and laboratory tests | The nature, quality and appropriateness<br>of the assaying and laboratory procedures<br>used and whether the technique is<br>considered partial or total.  | No assay results reported   |
|   | For geophysical tools, spectrometers,<br>handheld XRF instruments, etc., the<br>parameters used in determining the<br>analysis including instrument make and<br>model, reading times, calibrations factors<br>applied and their derivation, etc. | No geophysical tools were used to determine element concentrations<br>at Three Ways   |
|   | Nature of quality control procedures<br>adopted (e.g. standards, blanks,<br>duplicates, external laboratory checks)<br>and whether acceptable levels of accuracy<br>(i.e. lack of bias) and precision have been<br>established.                  | No assay results reported   |
| Verification of<br>sampling<br>and assaying   | The verification of significant intersections<br>by either independent or alternative<br>company personnel.  | No assay results reported   |
|   | The use of twinned holes.<br>Documentation of primary data, data<br>entry procedures, data verification, data<br>storage (physical and electronic)<br>protocols.   |   |
|   | Discuss any adjustment to assay data.  |   |
| Location of data<br>points                    | Accuracy and quality of surveys used to<br>locate drill holes (collar and down-hole<br>surveys), trenches, mine workings and<br>other locations used in Mineral Resource<br>estimation.  | The collar position for TWD2001 and TWD2002 were surveyed by<br>Handheld GPS using GDA94, Zone54 datum. GPS locations are<br>accurate to about 3m |
|   | Specification of the grid system used.   | GDA94_Zone54 datum.   |
|   | Quality and adequacy of topographic control.   | Topographic relief has been extracted using the ELVIS digital terrain information at Geoscience Australia   |
| Data spacing and distribution                 | Data spacing for reporting of Exploration  | Single holes testing two separate deep geophysical targets.   |
|   | Results.   |   |

|   | Whether sample compositing has been applied.  | No sample compositing has been applied   |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| Orientation of data in<br>relation to geological<br>structure | Whether the orientation of sampling<br>achieves unbiased sampling of possible<br>structures and the extent to which this is<br>known, considering the deposit type.   | Orientation measurements are in progress   |  |  |
|   | If the relationship between the drilling<br>orientation and the orientation of key<br>mineralised structures is considered to<br>have introduced a sampling bias, this<br>should be assessed and reported if<br>material. | No assay results reported  |  |  |
| Sample security   | The measures taken to ensure sample security.   | Core has been transported to Red Metal core farm at Cloncurry fo detailed logging and core cutting |  |  |
| Audits or reviews   | The results of any audits or reviews of<br>sampling techniques and data.  | No external audits have been undertaken at this early stage.                                       |  |  |

## Table 2 Three Ways Project: JORC 2012 reporting of exploration results

| Criteria                                   | JORC 2012 Explanation  | Commentary  |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| Mineral tenement and<br>land tenure status | Type, reference name/number, location<br>and ownership including agreements or<br>material issues with third parties such as<br>joint ventures, partnerships, overriding<br>royalties, native title interests, historical<br>sites, wilderness or national park and<br>environmental settings. | The Three Ways drilling is located within EPM 26941 situated in the Gulf<br>region of north-west Queensland. EPM 26941 is owned 100% by Red<br>Metal Limited. OZ Minerals have an option to earn 51% of the tenement<br>under the terms of the Greenfield Discovery Alliance (refer to RDM ASX<br>announcement lodged 30 January 2019). An ancillary exploration access<br>agreement has been established with the native title claimants and a<br>standard landholder conduct and compensation agreement has been<br>established with the pastoral lease holder at Lorraine Station. |  |  |  |
|  | The security of the tenure held at the time<br>of reporting along with any known<br>impediments to obtaining a licence to<br>operate in the area.  | The tenements are in good standing and no known impediments exist   |  |  |  |
| Exploration done by<br>other parties       | Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.  | No previous drilling by other parties   |  |  |  |
| Geology                                    | Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.  | The project is trialling the use of magnetotelluric surveying and follow-up<br>moving loop, ground electromagnetic surveying to identify previously<br>unrecognised, zinc and copper prospective sub-basins with no past drill<br>history located some 130 kilometres along trend from the recently<br>commissioned Dugald River zinc-lead-silver mine. There is no past drill<br>history on Three Ways and no understanding of the geological setting<br>other than what is inferred from interpretation of regional magnetic and<br>gravity imagery.                                |  |  |  |
| Drill hole Information                     | A summary of all information material to<br>the understanding of the exploration<br>results including a tabulation of survey<br>information for all Material drill holes:  | <i>Refer to Table 3 for a summary of drill hole collar data for</i> TWD2103, TWD2104 and TWD2105.   |  |  |  |
| Data aggregation<br>methods                | In reporting Exploration Results,<br>weighting averaging techniques,<br>maximum and/or minimum grade<br>truncations (e.g. cutting of high grades)<br>and cut-off grades are usually Material<br>and should be stated.  | No assay results reported   |  |  |  |
|  | The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.  | No assay results reported   |  |  |  |

| Criteria   | JORC 2012 Explanation  | Commentary  |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| Relationship between<br>mineralisation widths<br>and intercept lengths | These relationships are particularly<br>important in the reporting of Exploration<br>Results. If the geometry of the<br>mineralisation with respect to the drill<br>hole angle is known, its nature should be<br>reported. If it is not known and only the<br>down hole lengths are reported, there<br>should be a clear statement to this effect<br>(e.g. 'down hole length, true width not<br>known').   | True widths are estimated by measuring the alpha and beta values relative to the oriented core axis for bedding, banding or veining hole. |  |  |  |
| Diagrams   | Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.  | No significant discovery to date  |  |  |  |
| Balanced reporting   | Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.  | No assay results reported   |  |  |  |
| Other substantive<br>exploration data                                  | Other exploration data, if meaningful and<br>material, should be reported including<br>(but not limited to): geological<br>observations; geophysical survey results;<br>geochemical survey results; bulk samples<br>– size and method of treatment;<br>metallurgical test results; bulk density,<br>groundwater, geotechnical and rock<br>characteristics; potential deleterious or<br>contaminating substances.<br>TWD2001 and TWD2002 intersected mafic intrusiveand dolerite) that fail to explain the source to these sand dolerite) that fail to explain the source to these sand dolerite) that fail to explain the source to these sand dolerite) that fail to explain the source to these sand dolerite) that fail to explain the source to these sand dolerite) that fail to explain the source to these sand dolerite) that fail to explain the source to these sand dolerite) that fail to explain the source to these sand dolerite) that fail to explain the source to these sand dolerite) that fail to explain the source to these sand dolerite) that fail to explain the source to these sand dolerite) that fail to explain the source to these sand dolerite) that fail to explain the source to these sand dolerite) that fail to explain the source to these sand dolerite) that fail to explain the source to these sand dolerite) that fail to explain the source to these sand dolerite) that fail to explain the source to these sand the two and twe and the two and two and two and two and two and two and two a |   |  |  |  |
| Further work   | The nature and scale of planned further<br>work (e.g. tests for lateral extensions or<br>depth extensions or large-scale step-out<br>drilling).  | Detailed logging and sampling in preparation for assaying.  |  |  |  |

## Table 3 – Three Ways Project: 2021 Drill collar summary

| Hole ID | Easting | Northing | Dip | True Azimuth | Depth | RL |
|---------|---------|----------|-----|--------------|-------|----|
| TWD2103 | 429576  | 7887952  | -73 | 69           | 696   | 79 |
| TWD2104 | 423986  | 7899573  | -65 | 66           | 878   | 72 |
| TWD2105 | 421495  | 7890199  | -72 | 249          | 715   | 72 |